DEBRA W YANG 1 United States Attorney STEVEN D. CLYMER Special Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division 3 JAMES M. AQUILINA Assistant United States Attorney 4 California Bar Number: 177108 1500 United States Courthouse 5 312 North Spring Street Los Angeles, California 90012 6 Telephone: (213) 894-6875 Facsimile: (213) 894-8061 7 Attorney for Plaintiff 8 United States of America 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, CR No. 04-704(B) 13 PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT Plaintiff. PAUL GARRETT ASHLEY 14 15 PAUL GARRETT ASHLEY, 16 Defendant. 17 18 This constitutes the plea agreement between PAUL GARRETT 19 ASHLEY ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for 20 the Central District of California ("the USAO") in the above-21 captioned case. This agreement is limited to the USAO and cannot 22 bind any other federal, state or local prosecuting, 23 administrative or regulatory authorities. 24 PLEA 25 Defendant gives up the right to indictment by a grand 26 jury and agrees to plead guilty to a four-count information in 27 addy similar the form attached to this agreement or 28

Case: 2:05-cr-00190-JLG Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/06/05 Page: 1 of 23 PAGEID #: 14

28.

form, charging defendant with conspiracy, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, and aiding and abetting the transmission of a program, code, information or command and intentionally causing damage to a protected computer, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A)(i) and 2.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSE

- 3. In order for defendant to be guilty of conspiracy in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, as charged in counts one and three of the information, the following must be true:
- a. Beginning on an unknown date, and ending in or about February 2004, there was an agreement between two or more persons to knowingly cause the transmission of a program, information, code or command to a protected computer;
- b. Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it; and
- c. One of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose of carrying out the conspiracy.
- abetting the transmission of a program, code, information or command and intentionally causing damage to a protected computer in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1030(a)(5)(A)(i) and 2, as charged in counts two and four of the information, the following must be true: (1) a co-defendant knowingly caused the transmission of a program, information, code, or command to a computer; (2) as a result of the transmission, the co-defendant intentionally impaired the integrity or availability of data, a program, a

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

11

 $1 \parallel \text{system}$ or information without authorization; (3) the impairment of the data, a program, a system or information resulted in losses to one or more individuals totaling at least \$5,000 in value at any time during a one-year period; (4) the impaired computer was used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication; (5) defendant knowingly and intentionally aided, counseled, commanded, induced or procured the co-defendant to commit the crime; and (6) defendant acted before the crime was completed.

- Defendant, as a member of the conspiracy charged in 5. counts one and three of the information, also may be guilty of counts two and four if, by on or about February 2004, another member of the conspiracy, during the course of and in furtherance of the conspiracy, and as reasonably could have been foreseen to defendant to be a necessary or natural consequence of the conspiracy, knowingly caused the transmission of a program, information, code, or command to a computer; (2) as a result of the transmission, the co-defendant intentionally impaired the integrity or availability of data, a program, a system or information without authorization; (3) the impairment of the data, a program, a system or information resulted in losses to one or more individuals totaling at least \$5,000 in value at any time during a one-year period; and (4) the impaired computer was used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication.
- Defendant expressly and unequivocally admits that he, in fact, committed the crimes charged in counts one through four of the information, and is, in fact, guilty of those offenses.

Case: 2:05-cr-00190-JLG Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/06/05 Page: 4 of 23 PAGEID #: 17

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 7. The statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, as charged in counts one and three of the information, is: five years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$ 100.
- impose for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A)(i), as charged in counts two and four, is: ten years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 9. Accordingly, the total statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for the counts set forth in the information is: thirty years imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$1,000,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$400.
- imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release, which could result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater

than the statutory maximum stated above.

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- Defendant understands that defendant will be required to pay full restitution to the victims of the offenses. Defendant agrees that, in return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the amount of restitution is not restricted to the amounts alleged in the counts to which defendant is pleading guilty and may include losses arising from charges not prosecuted pursuant to this agreement as well as all relevant conduct in connection with those charges. The parties currently believe that the applicable amount of restitution is at least approximately \$300,000 excluding lost revenue to the victim companies, but recognize and agree that this amount could change based on facts that come to the attention of the parties prior to sentencing. Defendant further agrees that defendant will not 15 seek the discharge of any restitution obligation, in whole or in part, in any present or future bankruptcy proceeding.
 - Defendant also understands that, by pleading guilty, defendant may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.
 - Defendant further understands that the conviction in this case may subject defendant to various collateral consequences, including but not limited to, deportation, revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case, and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty plea.

FACTUAL BASIS

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the statement of facts provided below. This statement of facts includes facts sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the sentencing guideline factors set forth in paragraph 17 below. It is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to defendant that relate to that conduct.

Beginning in or about January 1997, defendant PAUL GARRETT ASHLEY was the founder, owner, and computer systems administrator of Creative Internet Techniques ("CIT"), an Internet Service Provider based in Powell, Ohio. CIT ran a network known as "Foonet," which provided for its customers computer servers and bandwidth for access to the Internet. Customers used Foonet's system to run a variety of computer services, including Internet Relay Chat ("IRC") and web servers. Foonet was particularly well-known in the Internet community for providing its customers with protection against Distributed Denial of Service Attacks ("DDCS" attacks). A DDOS is a type of malicious computer activity by which an attacker causes a network of computers to "flood" a victim computer with large amounts of data or specific computer commands. A IDOS attack typically renders the victim computer unable to handle legitimate network traffic and often the network will be unable to perform its intended function.

In December 2003, ASHLEY sold CIT to one of his CIT clients, Jay Echouafni, the owner and Chief Executive Officer of Orbit Communication Corporation ("Orbit"), a Massachusetts corporation based in Sudbury, Massachusetts. Orbit provided DirecTV home

satellite systems to customers through its website, www.orbitsat.com, and its sales department. Echouafni retained ASHLEY as the network administrator of CII after December 2003.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

while operating Foonet, ASHLEY received a number of complaints from other system administrators about DDOS attacks and other malicious activity emanating from computers within the Foonet network or perpetrated by individuals using Foonet services in some respect. Indeed, ASHLEY knowingly had allowed certain clients or employees, including Jonathan Hall in Louisiana, Joshua Schichtel in Arizona, and Lee Walker in the United Kingdom, to use the Foonet server to create "botnets," or networks of compromised or infected computers used to control or attack other computer systems. ASHLEY knew that an Internet worm called an "Agobot" had been used to infect the computers comprising the botnets controlled by some of his clients through IRC control channels located on Foonet.

From October 2003 through February 2004, ASHLEY aided and abetted the launching of distributed denial of service ("DDOS") attacks against the computers and web sites of Weaknees.com, ("Weaknees"), an online business based in Los Angeles, California; RapidSatellite.Com ("Rapid"), an online business based in Miami, Florida; and ExpertSatellite.com, ("Expert"), a satellite system competitor located in Worcester, Massachusetts. All three of these businesses were competitors of Orbit, the corporation owned by ASHLEY's CIT client, Echouafni. Echouafni asked ASHLEY to coordinate and execute the DDOS attacks. Ashley then directed CIT clients or employees to launch the attacks as requested. ASHLEY received compensation for his role, some of which he passed on to others involved in the attacks.

Specifically, from on or about October 6, 2003 through on or about November 14, 2003, Echouafni instructed ASHLEY to launch DDOS attacks against Weaknees and Rapid, so that their web sites would be unavailable to legitimate customers on the Internet. ASHLEY then contacted Hall, Schichtel, Walker and others to use their botnets controlled through IRC channels on Foonet servers to flood on several occasions the web servers used by Weaknees and Rapid, as well as the domain name servers used by the companies that hosted their web sites. ASHLEY coordinated the attacks. On October 6, 2003, and again on October 10, 2003, ASHLEY received compensation from Echouafni.

As a result of the unlawful attacks, Weaknees and its web host incurred an aggregate of at least \$105,000 in costs necessary to respond to the attacks, conduct damage assessments and restore the system. Rapid and its web host suffered an aggregate of at least \$97,000 in costs necessary to respond to the attacks, conduct damage assessments and restore the system.

On or about February 5, 2004, ASHLEY was instructed by Echouafni to attack the website of Expert, another competitor of Orbit. ASHLEY then contacted others to use their botnets to flood the computers and website of Expert so that legitimate customers would be unable to access Expert's website on the Internet. The attacks continued repeatedly until February 12, 2004.

As a result of the unlawful attacks, Expert and its web host incurred an aggregate of at least \$42,000 in costs necessary to respond to the attacks, conduct damage assessments and restore the system.

At all relevant times, the computers of Weaknees, Rapid, and Expert were used in interstate and foreign commerce and communication. In addition, defendant ASHLEY was aware that many of the DDOS attacks on Weaknees and Rapid Satellite were launched by Lee Walker from the United Kingdom. Finally, defendant ASHLEY knew that launching the DDOS attacks against Weaknees, Rapid Satellite, and Expert was illegal and would impair the integrity and availability of the computer systems.

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 15. By pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a) The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b) The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
- c) The right to the assistance of legal counsel at trial, including the right to have the Court appoint counsel for defendant for the purpose of representation at trial. (In this regard, defendant understands that, despite his plea of guilty, he retains the right to be represented by counsel and, if necessary, to have the court appoint counsel if defendant cannot afford counsel at every other stage of the proceedings.)
- d) The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt
- e) The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f) The right, if defendant wished, to testify on defendant's own behalf and present evidence in opposition to the

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

charges, including the right to call witnesses and to subpoena those witnesses to testify.

g) The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.

By pleading guilty, defendant also gives up any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

SENTENCING FACTORS

- Defendant understands that the Court is required to consider the United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") among other factors in determining defendant's sentence. Defendant understands, however, that the Sentencing Guidelines are only advisory, and that after considering the Guidelines, the Court may be free to exercise its discretion to impose any reasonable sentence up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of conviction.
- 17. Defendant and the USAO agree and stipulate to the following applicable sentencing quideline factors based upon the November 5, 2003 Guidelines:

```
Base Offense
                          [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(2)]
Level
                     6
Loss greater
than $200,000
                     12
                          [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(G)]
(excluding
lost revenue)
Sophisticated
                          [U.s.s.g. § 2B1.1(b)(8)(c)]
                     2
Means
Computer
                          [U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(13)(A)(ii)]
                    4
Intrusion
```

l

Manager/

Supervisor Role: 2 [U.S.S.G. § 3B1.1(b)]

Multiple Counts: 1 [U.S.S.G. §§ 3D1.2, 3D1.4]

Total Offense

Level:

The USAO will agree to a downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an additional level under § 3E1.1(b)) only if the conditions set forth in paragraphs 20 and 21) are met. Subject to paragraph 24, defendant and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments or departures from the applicable Offense Level be imposed. If, however, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section.

18. There is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.

AGREEMENT NOT BINDING ON COURT

19. The stipulations in this agreement do not bind either the United States Probation Office or the Court. Both defendant and the USAO are free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the calculation of the sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and

collateral review that the Court's sentencing guidelines calculations are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 17 are consistent with the facts of this case.

DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS

- 20. Defendant agrees that he or she will:
 - a) Plead quilty as set forth in this agreement
- b) Not knowingly and willfully fail to abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this agreement.
- c) Not knowingly and willfully fail to: (i) appear as ordered for all court appearances, (ii) surrender as ordered for service of sentence, (iii) obey all conditions of any bond, and (iv) obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- d) Not commit any crime; however, offenses which would be excluded for sentencing purposes under U.S.S.G. § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- e) Not knowingly and willfully fail to be truthful at all times with Pretrial Services, the U.S. Probation Office, and the Court.
- f) Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant lacks the ability to pay.
- 21. Defendant further agrees to cooperate fully with the USAO, the Federal Bureau of Investigation, and, as directed by the USAO, any other federal, state, local, or foreign law enforcement agency. This cooperation requires defendant to:
- a) Respond truthfully and completely to all questions that may be put to defendant, whether in interviews, before a grand jury, or at any trial or other court proceeding.

6

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

- Attend all meetings, grand jury sessions, trials b) or other proceedings at which defendant's presence is requested by the USAO or compelled by subpoena or court order.
- Produce voluntarily all documents, records, or other tangible evidence relating to matters about which the USAO, or its designee, inquires.
- Act, if requested by the USAO to do so by the USAO, in an undercover capacity to the best of defendant: 's ability in connection with criminal investigations by federal, state, or local law enforcement authorities, in accordance with the instructions of those law enforcement authorities. Defendant agrees not to act undercover, tape record any conversations, or gather any evidence unless expressly instructed or authorized to do so by federal, state, or local law enforcement authorities.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

- If defendant complies fully with all defendant's obligations under this agreement, the USAO agrees:
- a) To abide by all sentencing stipulations contained in this agreement.
- b) To consent to the transfer of the case to the Scuthern District of Ohio pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 20.
- c) At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, to recommend a zwo-level reduction in the applicable sentencing guideline offense level. pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and to recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under

that section. The USAO specifically reserves the right not to recommend a reduction under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1 if, at any time between his execution of this Agreement and sentencing defendant:

- (i) Fails to admit a complete factual basis for
- (ii) Fails to truthfully admit his conduct in the offenses of conviction;
- (iii) Falsely denies, or frivolously contests,
 relevant conduct for which defendant is accountable under
 U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3;
- (iv) Fails to provide truthful information about his financial status;
- (v) Gives false or misleading testimony in any proceeding relating to the criminal conduct charged in this case and any relevant conduct for which defendant is accountable under U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3;
- (vi) Engages in acts which form a basis for finding that defendant has obstructed or impeded the administration of justice under U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1;
- (vii) Intentionally fails to appear in Court or violates any condition of release;
 - (viii) commits a crime;
- (ix) Transfers any asset protected under any provision of this Agreement; or
- (x) Attempts to withdraw his guilty plea.

 Defendant expressly understands that, in addition to declining to recommend an acceptance-of-responsibility adjustment, the USAO may seek an upward adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1 if

defendant obstructs justice after the date of this Agreement.

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15 l

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

- d) To recommend that defendant be sentenced at the low end of the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range provided that the total offense level as calculated by the Court is 24 or higher and provided that the Court does not depart downward in 6 offense level or criminal history category (except pursuant to, and to the extent requested in, a motion by the USAO for a downward departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1). Notwithstanding its agreement to recommend the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range, the USAO is free to recommend any conditions of confinement, including imprisonment, if the total offense level falls within Zone B or C of the sentencing table.
- Not to offer as evidence in its case-in-chief in the above-captioned case or any other prosecution that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, or in connection with any sentencing proceeding in any case that may be brought against defendant by the USAO, any statements made by defendant or documents, records, or tangible evidence provided by defendant pursuant to this agreement or the letter agreements previously entered into by the parties dated March 16, 2004 and June 15, 2004 ("the Letter Agreements"). Defendant agrees, however, that the USAO may use such statements, documents, records, and tangible evidence: (1) to obtain and pursue leads to other evidence, which evidence may be used for any purpose, including any prosecution of defendant, (2) to cross-examine defendant should defendant testify, or to rebut any evidence, argument or representations made by defendant or a witness called by defendant in any trial, sentencing hearing, or other court

3

5

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 1 proceeding, and (3) in any prosecution of defendant for false statement, obstruction of justice, or perjury.

- Not to use any information provided by defendant pursuant to this agreement or the Letter Agreements against defendant at sentencing for the purpose of determining the applicable guideline range, including the appropriateness of an upward departure, and to recommend to the Court that such information not be used in determining the point in the Sentencing Guidelines range at which defendant should be sentenced. Defendant understands, however, that information provided by defendant pursuant to this agreement or the Letter Agreements will be disclosed to the probation office and the Court, and that the Court may use this information for the purposes set forth in U.S.S.G § 1B1.8(b).
- In connection with defendant's sentencing, to bring to the Court's attention the nature and extent of defendant's cooperation.
- If the USAO determines, in its exclusive judgment, that defendant has both complied with his obligations under paragraphs 20 and 21 above and provided substantial assistance to law enforcement in the prosecution or investigation of another ("substantial assistance"), to move the Court pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 to impose a sentence below the sentencing range otherwise dictated by the sentencing guidelines.

DEFENDANT'S UNDERSTANDINGS REGARDING SUBSTANTIAL ASSISTANCE

- 23. Defendant understands the following:
- Any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant will subject defendant to prosecution for false

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26 II

27

statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury and will constitute a breach by defendant of this agreement.

- Nothing in this agreement requires the USAO or any other prosecuting or law enforcement agency to accept any cooperation or assistance that defendant may offer, or to use it in any particular way.
- Defendant cannot withdraw defendant's quilty pleas C) if the USAO does not make a motion pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1 for a reduced sentence or if the USAO makes such a motion and the Court does not grant it.
- At this time the USAO makes no agreement or d) representation as to whether any cooperation that defendant has provided or intends to provide constitutes substantial assistance. The decision whether defendant has provided substantial assistance rests solely within the discretion of the USAO.
- The USAO's determination of whether defendant has provided substantial assistance will not depend in any way on whether the government prevails at any trial or court hearing in which defendant testifies.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

If defendant, at any time between the execution of this 24. agreement and the completion of defendant's cooperation pursuant to the agreement or defendant's sentencing on a non-custodial sentence or surrender for service on a custodial sentence, whichever is later, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. For example, if the

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 |

28

defendant knowingly in an interview, before a grand jury or at trial, falsely accuses another person of criminal conduct or falsely minimizes his own role, or the role of another, in 4 criminal conduct, he will have breached this agreement. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, defendant will not be able to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement. In particular:

- The USAO will no longer be bound by any agreements concerning sentencing and will be free to seek any sentence up to the statutory maximum for the crimes to which defendant has pleaded guilty.
- The USAO will no longer be bound by any agreements b) regarding criminal prosecution, and will be free to prosecute defendant for any crime.
- The USAO will be free to prosecute defendant for c) false statement, obstruction of justice, and perjury based on any knowingly false or misleading statement by defendant.
- The USAO will no longer be bound by any agreement regarding the use of statements, documents, records, tangible evidence, or information provided by defendant, and will be free to use any of those in any way in any investigation, prosecution, or civil or administrative action. Defendant will not be able to assert either (1) that those statements, documents, records, tangible evidence, or information were obtained in violation of the Fifth Amendment privilege against compelled selfincrimination, or (2) any claim under the United States Constitution, any statute, Rule 11(f) of the Federal Rules of

- 25. Following a knowing and willful breach of this agreement by defendant, should the USAO elect to pursue any charge that was dismissed or not filed as a result of this agreement, then:
- a) Defendant agrees that any applicable statute of limitations is tolled between the date of defendant's signing of this agreement and the commencement of any such prosecution or action.
- b) Defendant gives up all defenses based on the statute of limitations, any claim of preindictment delay, or any speedy trial claim with respect to any such prosecution, except to the extent that such defenses existed as of the date of defendant's signing of this agreement.

LIMITED MUTUAL WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK DEFENDANT'S WAIVER

26. Defendant gives up the right to appeal any sentence imposed by the Court, including any order of restitution, and the manner in which the sentence is determined, provided that (a) the sentence is within the statutory maximum specified above and is constitutional, (b) the Court in determining the applicable guideline range does not depart upward in offense level or criminal history category, and determines that the total offense level is 27 or below, and (c) the Court imposes a sentence within

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

or below the range corresponding to the determined total offense level and criminal history category. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a post-conviction collateral attack on the convictions or sentence, including any order of restitution, except a post-conviction collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or a explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction. Notwithstanding the foregoing, defendant retains the ability to appeal the court's determination of defendant's criminal history category and the conditions of supervised release imposed by the court, with the exception of the following: standard conditions set forth in district court General Orders 318 and 01-05; the drug testing conditions: mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7); and the computer crimes conditions discussed in paragraphs 27 through 29. COMPUTER CRIMES CONDITIONS

- 27. Defendant shall not possess or use a device with access to any online service at any location without the prior approval of the Probation Officer. This includes access through any Internet service provider, bulletin board system, or any public or private computer network system. Further, defendant shall not have another individual access the Internet on his behalf to obtain files or information that he is restricted from accessing himself, or accept restricted files or information from another person;
 - 28. Defendant shall use only those computers, computer-

related devices, screen/user names, passwords, e-mail accounts, and Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as approved by the 2 Probation Officer. Computer and computer-related devices 3 include, but are not limited to, personal computers, personal 4 data assistants (PDAs), Internet appliances, electronic games, and cellular telephones, as well as peripheral equipment, that 6 can access, or can be modified to access, the Internet, 7 electronic bulletin boards, other computers, or similar media. 8 Defendant shall use any approved computers only within the scope of his employment. Defendant shall not access a computer for any 10 other purposes. Defendant shall immediately report any changes 11 in defendant's employment affecting defendant's access and/or use 12 of computers or the Internet, including e-mail; 13

All computers, computer-related devices, computer storage media, and peripheral equipment used by defendant shall be subject to search and seizure, and subject to the installation of search and/or monitoring software and/or hardware, including unannounced seizure for the purpose of search. Defendant shall not add, remove, upgrade, update, reinstall, repair, or otherwise modify the hardware or software on any computers, computerrelated devices, or their peripheral equipment without the prior approval of the Probation Officer, nor shall defendant hide or encrypt files or data. Further, defendant shall, as requested by the Probation Officer, provide all billing records, including telephone, cable, Internet, satellite, and similar records.

GOVERNMENT'S WAIVER

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The USAO gives up its right to appeal the sentence, provided that (a) the Court in determining the applicable

quideline range does not depart downward in offense level or 1 criminal history category (except by a downward departure in offense level pursuant to, and to the extent requested by, the USAO in a motion under U.S.S.G. § 5Kl.1), (b) the Court 4 determines that the total offense level is 27 or above prior to any departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, and (c) the Court imposes a sentence within or above the range corresponding to the determined total offense level (after any downward departure under U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1) and criminal history category.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COURT NOT A PARTY

The Court is not a party to this agreement and need not 31. accept any of the USAO's sentencing recommendations or the parties' stipulations. Even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from any stipulation, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. No one - not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court - can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

Except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's counsel. This agreement supersedes and replaces the Letter Agreements. Nor may any additional agreement, understanding or condition be entered into unless in a writing

Case: 2:05-cr-00190-JLG Doc #: 1-2 Filed: 09/06/05 Page: 23 of 23 PAGEID #: 36

signed by all parties or on the record in court.

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

The parties agree and stipulate that this Agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire Agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

This agreement is effective upon signature by defendant and an Assistant United States Attorney.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

26

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DEBRA W. YANG United States Attorney

JAMES M. AQUILINA

Assistant United States Attorney

I have read this agreement and carefully discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. My attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible defenses, of the Sentencing Guideline provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises or inducements have been made to me other than those contained in this agreement. one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. Finally, I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter. 3/7/05 Date

PAUL GARRETT ASHLE

Defendant

- 28